Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/749,291	NACHREINER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	M. Alexandra Elve	1793
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>abandonment</u>		
(1) <u>M. Alexandra Elve</u> .	(3) <u>-</u> .	
(2) <u>James V. Lilly</u> .	(4) <u>-</u> .	
Date of Interview: 31 October 2007	Time: <u>11:00 am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: n/a		•
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		·
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner left a message on the attorney's voice mail that the case was up for abandonment. If this was incorrect, the applicant was advised to call back the examiner. The examiner has not received a return phone call and hence is assuming that the case is being abandoned.